

Date: 26-28.06.2019

Place: Banja Luka

# Knowledge FOr Resilient soCiEty

# REVIEW OF K-FORCE QUALITY ASSESSMENT (ACTIVITIES AND PROJECT RESULTS)

Ss. Cyril and Methodius University

Prof. Meri Cvetkovska,

Assist. Prof. Marijana Lazarevska,

Assoc. Prof. Ana Trombeva Gavriloska






The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.



# **EVALUATION OF TEACHING MOBILITIES**







# **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**



# Questionnaire for the quest lecturer

- The content of lecture is relevant to the field DRM&FSE.
- 2. The discussions were relevant for the participants
- 3. I enjoyed the cooperation and interaction with the other participants
- 4. The participants had relevant knowledge in this field
- 5. The goal of the event has been achieved
- 6. The overall organisation was professional
- The methods of working were suitable for the topics and for the participants
- 8. The event time management and length were appropriate
- 9. The venue and facilities were appropriate
- 10. My expectations about this event were met or exceeded
- 11. The goal of the event has been achieved
- 12. How would you generally mark this event





# **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**




# Questionnaire for the host professors

- The content of lecture is relevant to the field DRM&FSE.
- 2. The structure of the lecture is relevant
- 3. The lecture was interactive and interesting
- The activities during the lectures provided enough practical information and answers
- 5. Level of difficulty and the complexity of teaching was adequate
- 6. The lecture is well prepared and organized
- 7. I am satisfied with the dynamics and duration of the lecture
- 8. The lecture has met my expectations
- 9. I believe that students will be able to use in practice what they have learned



- 10. How would you generally mark the lecture
- 11. How would you generally mark this lecturer



# **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**



#### **Questionnaire for the students**

- The lectured material was interesting
- 2. The material was intellectually challenging
- 3. The lectured material was not very difficult
- 4. The lecture content fulfilled my expectations
- 5. The lecture was not overwhelming and strenuous
- 6. The presentation was interesting
- 7. I am satisfied with the dynamics and duration of the lecture
- 8. I will be able to use in practice what I have learned
- 9. Overall, I would like to give this lecture the grade....
- 10. Overall, I would like to give this lecturer the grade....





# **EVALUATION OF TEACHING MOBILITIES IN WINTER SEMESTER 2017/2018**

(Results were presented at the meeting in Zilina)






# REALIZED TEACHING MOBILITIES AT FTS-UNS IN WINTER SEMESTER 2017/2018

Date	Place	Topic	Lecturer	Number of participants
05.12.2017	FTS, UNS	Risk Assessment and Treatment in Accidents prevention	1. Katarina Holla, UNIZA	22
07.12.2017	FTS, UNS	Enterprise Risk Management for Business Resilience	2. Katarina Buganova, UNIZA	26
14.12.2017	FTS, UNS	Financial Resilience to Hazards and Climate Finance	3. Elona Pojani, TU	28
12.12.2017	FTS, UNS	Risk management system: Tools and Techniques of Risk management	4. Julinda Keci, EPOKA	26
21.12.2017	FTS, UNS	Risk Communication and Risk Perception	5. Edisa Nukic, UTZ	27
11.01.2018	FTS, UNS	Methods supporting fire risk assessment and management	6. Frank Markert, DTU	28


# REALIZED TEACHING MOBILITIES AT FTS-UNS IN WINTER SEMESTER 2017/2018

# **Evaluation of students and event**



# REALIZED TEACHING MOBILITIES AT FTS-UNS IN WINTER SEMESTER 2017/2018

# **Evaluation of quest lecturers**







# **EVALUATION OF TEACHING MOBILITIES:**

SMS in 2017/2018-spring semester (5 SMS in Novi Sad, FTS-UNS)

SMS in 2018/2019-both semesters

Planned: 52

Realized: 49

Evaluated: 42





#### **PLANNED AND REALIZED TEACHING MOBILITIES IN 2018/2019**

receiving	UNS	UNTZ	UBL	UT	VTSNS	EPOKA
sending						
DTU	25.11.2018-30.11.2018	08.05.2019 14.05.2019				11.05.2018-18.05.2018
	Lars Schiøtt Sørensen	Frank Markert				Lars Schiøtt Sørensen
	1 teaching - m,d	1 teaching - m				1 teaching - m
LU			25.11.2018 30.11.2018	20.01.2019 25.01.2019	14.04.2019 19.04.2019	
			Luma Phudoma	Henrik Hassel	Enrico Ronchi	
			1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	
AAU	06.10.2019 12.10.2019	09.12.2018 14.12.2018	09.12.2018 14.12.2018	05.05.2019 10.05.2019	06.10.2019 12.10.2019	05.05.2019 10.05.2019
	Michael Faber	Michael Faber	Linda Nilsen	Jose Guadalupe	Linda Nilsen	Michael Faber
	1 teaching - m,d	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m
UNIZA		13.01.2019 18.01.2019	13.01.2018 18.01.2019	4.03.2019-8.03.2019	21.04.2019. 25.04.2019.	04.03.2019 08.03.2019
		Katarina Holla	Vladimir Mozer	Marian Magdolen	Meri Cvetkovska	Tomas Lovecek
		1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m
UKIM	13.03.2019-19.03.2019	15.04.2019 20.04.2019	25.11.2018 30.11.2018	02.12.2018 07.12.2018	13.03.2019-19.03.2019	02.12.2018 07.12.2018
	Meri Cvetkovska	Marijana Lazarevska	Zlatko Zafiroski	Marijana Lazarevska	Ana Trombova Gavriloska	Meri Cvetkovska
	1 teaching - m,d	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m
UNS		13.01.2019 18.01.2019	13.01.2019 18.01.2019	07.04.2019 12.04.2019		13.01.2019 19.01.2019
		Vlastimir Radonjanin	Mirjana Malešev	Ljiljana Popović		Mirjana Laban
		1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m		1 teaching - m
UNTZ	05.05.2019-11.05.2019			09.12.2018 14.12.2018	03.03.2019 08.03.2019	21.04.2019 26.04.2019
	Kenan Mandžić		;	Rijad Šišić	Edin Delić	Damir Zenunović
	1 teaching - m,d			1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m
UBL	14.04.2019-19.04.2019			13.01.2019 18.01.2019	14.04.2019 19.04.2019	13.05.2019 17.05.2019
	Brankica Milojević 1 teaching - m,d			Gordana Jakovljević 1 teaching - m	Gordana Broćeta 1 teaching - m	Cvoro Malina 1 teaching - m
UT	16.12.2018-22.12.2018	25.03.2019 29.03.2019	25.11.2018 30.11.2018	1 teaching - III	16.12.2018-22.12.2018	1 teaching - III
O1	Elona Pojani	Dorina Koci	Gentiana Sharku		Perseta Grabova	
	1 teaching - m,d	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m		1 teaching - m	
VTSNS		20.05.2019 24.05.2019	12.04.2018 ?	05.05.2019 10.05.2019		05.05.2019 10.05.2019
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Mirjana Laban	Branko Savić	Ranko Bojanic		Saša Spaić
		1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m		1 teaching - m
EPOKA	04.03.2019-08.03.2019		31.03.2019 05.04.2019		04.03.2019 08.03.2019	
	Erion Luga	Enea Mustafarj	Endrit Hoxha		Artemis Hoxha	
	1 teaching - m,d	1 teaching - m	1 teaching - m		1 teaching - m	





unrealized task, shoud be realized until the end of summer semester

realized task

Questionnaires are missing



# REALIZED TEACHING MOBILITIES AT FTS-UNS in 2017/2018 (summer semester) and 2018/2019


2017/2018 (summer semester)

2018/2019

No.	Date	Lecturer	Number of participants
1	26.3.2018	Bjarne Husted (Lund)	14
2	27.3.2018	Enrico Ronchi (Lund)	16
3	28.3.2018	Gordana Jakovljevic (UBL)	21
4	24.4.2018	Michael Havbro Faber (Aalborg)	25
5	8.5.2018	Sesov Vlatko (UKIM)	10
6	27.11.2018	Lars Schiott Sorensen (DTU)	18
7	18.12.2018	Elona Pojani (TU)	16
8	5.3.2019	Erion Luga (EPOKA)	17
9	13.3.2019	Meri Cvetkovska (UKIM)	15
10	16.4.2019	Brankica Milojević (UBL)	16
11	9.5.2019	Kenan Mandzic (UTZ)	15



#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**




- The methods of working were suitable for the topics and for the participants
- 8. The event time management and length were appropriate-funded by the of the European Union






#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**



6. The overall organisation was professional – average grade 5.00



#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**






Average grade 4.89



#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**




9. I believe that students will be able to practically use the acquired knowledge





#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**






Average grade 4.93



#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**










#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**






Average grade 4.27



No.	Date	Lecturer	Number of participants
1	18.4.2019	Gordana Broceta (UBL)	20
2	24.4.2019	Meri Cvetkovska (UKIM)	12
3	7.3.2019	Edin Delic (FTZ)	24
4	14.3.2019	Ana Trombeva Gavriloska (UKIM)	27
5	17.4.2019	Enrico Ronchi (Lund Univrsity)	20
6	6.3.2019	Artemis Hasa (EPOKA)	20
7	17.12.2018	Perseta Grabova (TU)	7



#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**




4. The participants had relevant knowledge in this field





#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**










#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**




5. Difficulty and complexity level of the lecture was adequate





#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**










#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**




8. I will be able to use in practice what I have learned





#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**










No.	Date	Lecturer	Number of participants
1	14.5.2019	Frank Markert (DTU)	6
2	18.4.2019	Marijana Lazarevska (UKIM)	5
3	15.1.2019	Vlastimir Radonjanin (FTS-UNS)	4
4	14.1.2019	Katarina Holla (UNIZA)	9
5	11.12.2018	Michel Havbro Faber (Aalborg) (questionnaire is missing)	15
6	2.4.2019	Enea Mustafaraj (epoka)	7
7	26.3.2019	Dorina Koci (TU)	8



#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**




- 4. The participants had relevant knowledge in this field
- 12. How would you generally mark this event





#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**










#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**




5. The lecture was well prepared and organized





#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**










#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**




- 3. The lecture topic was not very difficult for me
- 8. I will be able to use in practice what I have learned





#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**





Average grade 4.55




No.	Date	Lecturer	Number of participants
1	17.1.2019	Vladimir Mozer (UNIZA)	6
2	17.1.2019	Mirjana Malesev (FTS-UNS)	6
3	11/27/18	Lama Phu Doma (Lund)	5
4	11/27/18	Zlatko Zafirovski (UKIM)	7
5	11/27/18	Gentijana Sharku (UT)	10
6	12.04.2018	Branko Savic (VTSNS)	4
7	2.4.2019	Endird Hoxha (EPOKA)	5





#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**




- 4. The participants had relevant knowledge in this field
- 5. The goal of the event has bean achieved (question 11 ??)








#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**






Average grade 4.87













#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**
















#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**








			\
No.	Date	Lecturer	Number of participants
1	6.12.2018	Marijana Lazarevska (UKIM)	29
2	11.12.2018	Rijad Sisic (UNTZ)	26
3	4.3.2019	Marian Magdolen (UNIZA)	29
4	18.1.2019	Gordana Jakovljevic (BL)	29
5	11.4.2019	Liljana Popovic (FTS-UNS)	12
6	21.1.2019	Henrik Hassel (Lund) (questionnaire is missing)	22



#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**




- 4. The participants had relevant knowledge in this field
- 1. The content of lecture is relevant to the field DRM&FSE






#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**










- 4. Activities during lectures provided enough practical information and answers
- 5. Difficulty and complexity level of the lecture was adequate ramme of the European Union






#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**










- 2. The lecture topic was intellectually challenging for me
- 8. I will be able to use in practice what I have learned





#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**








No.	Date	Lecturer	Number of participants
1	24.4.2019	Damir Zemunovic (UNTZ)	10
2	4.3.2019	Tomas Lovecek (UNIZA)	16
3	16.1.2019	Mirjana Laban (FTS-UNS)	19
4	4.12.2018	Meri Cvetkovska (UKIM)	24



#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**











#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS and EVENT**










- 4. Activities during lectures provided enough practical information and answers
- 9. I believe that students will be able to use in practice Co-funded by the what they have learned




#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER**










- 8. I will be able to use in practice what I have learned
- 2. The lecture topic was intellectually challenging for me













### REALIZED TEACHING MOBILITIES IN 2018/2019 WITH HIGHEST GRADE

#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS AND EVENT**

**EPOKA**: Average grade 4.98

**EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURERS (host prof.)** 

VTSNS: Average grade 4.94


**EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURERS (students)** 

**UNTZ**: Average grade 4.55






### REALIZED TEACHING MOBILITIES IN 2018/2019 WITH LOWEST GRADE







### REALIZED TEACHING MOBILITIES IN 2018/2019 QUESTIONS WITH LOWEST GRADE

#### **EVALUATION OF STUDENTS AND EVENT**

4. The participants had relevant knowledge in this field (6 times)

#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURERS (host prof.)**

- 4. Activities during lectures provided enough practical information and answers
- 5. Difficulty and complexity level of the lecture was adequate
- 9. I believe that students will be able to practically use the acquired knowledge

(all 3 questions 3 times)

#### **EVALUATION OF QUEST LECTURER (students)**

- 2. The lecture topic was intellectually challenging for me (6 times)
- 8. I will be able to use in practice what I have learned (3 times)







#### **EVALUATION OF TRAINING MOBILITIES**

# All planned mobilities are realized Most of the questionnaires are missing!

EVALUATION OF TRAINING SCHOOLS in 2017/2018: DTU, UKIM Missing: UNIZA, AAL, LUND

**EVALUATION OF TRAINING SCHOOLS in 2018/2019: UKIM** 











#### **According to the Quality Assurance and Monitoring Manual:**

- > All events within the project should be organized professionally.
- The organizers should provide in due time a full information package to the participants including the **draft agenda**, **letter of invitation** and a **note on the logistics** (informing about travel arrangements, venue, suggested hotels, etc.).















#### Questionnaires are divided in 4 parts:

- Event content
- Organization
- Event results
- Event general assessment











#### **Questions on Event content:**

1	2	3	4	5	6
	The discussions were relevant for the participants	The materials distributed are useful and informative	The event was interactive and interesting	The event activities provided me substantial amount of practical information and answers	The goal of the event has been achieved









#### **Questions on Organization:**

7	8	9	10	11
The overall organization was professional	The style and level of communication between organizers and participants was professional	of working	time management and length were	The venue and facilities









#### Questions on Event results and general assessment:


12 13 14 15 16 My I enjoyed the This event The General covered to a information I expectations cooperation assessment about this and interaction very high got will be of How would with the other extent the immediate event were you generally met or participants topics I have use to me mark this exceeded expected event?





Event: Study visit and training, University of Zilina, Slovakia

Date: 29.1-02.2.2018 Number of participants: 28




of the European Union



Event: Project meeting and study visit in Ohrid, UKIM, Macedonia

Date: 02-05.07.2018 Number of participants: 34






Event: Project meeting and S-FORCE Symposium, Novi Sad

Date: 26-29.09.2018 Number of participants: 44

## Evaluation results for S-FORCE Conference and meeting in Novi Sad, 26-29.09.2018








Event: Project meeting and study visit in Tuzla

University of Tuzla, Bosna and Hercegovina

Date: 29-31.05.2019 Number of participants: 18

## Evaluation results for meeting in Tuzla, 29-31.5.2019



of the European Union

# Realization of planned activities



#### 2<sup>th</sup> year

#### PROJECT RESULTS

2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019

			2017			2018									
No.	Activities	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
			15.11.	15.12.	15.1.	15.2.	15.3.	15.4.	15.5.	15.6.	15.7.	15.8.	15.9		
	WP5 Implementation of PhD Programme														
5.1	Accredit the new PhD programme	х	Х	X							X	Х	Х		
5.2	Enrol the PhD students														
5.3	Selected PhD lectures held by K-FORCE guest lecturers														
5.4	Evaluate the 1st cohorts' progress and satisfaction of students and staff														
	WP6 Implementation of LLL courses														
6.1	Analyse the WBC needs for LLL courses														
6.2	Define LLL outcomes according to EQF/NQFs														
6.3	Create K-FORCE Glossary	χ=	X=	X=	χ=	χ=	χ=	χ=	X=	X=	X=	X=	X=		
5.4	Adapt selected learning material for LLL courses	2X=	2X=	2X=	2X=	2X=	2X=	2X=	2X=		ivate o <mark>%₹</mark> ti		activa		
6.5	Deliver LLL courses in blended way to professionals												2X		



Activity carried out in the Programme Country =
Activity carried out in the Partner Country X











# Realization of planned activities




#### 3<sup>th</sup> year

#### PROJECT RESULTS

2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019

			2018			2019								
No.	Activities	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
			15.11.	15.12.	15.1.	15.2.	15.3.	15.4.	15.5.	15.6.	15.7.	15.8.	15.9	
	WP5 Implementation of PhD Programme													
5.1	Accredit the new PhD programme	Х	х	х	Х									
5.2	Enrol the PhD students	2X	_					>						
5.3	Selected PhD lectures held by K-FORCE guest lecturers		2=X	_					2					
5.4	Evaluate the 1st cohorts' progress and satisfaction of students and staff				2X				ZA		<b>→</b>		2X	
	WP6 Implementation of LLL courses													
6.1	Analyse the WBC needs for LLL courses													
6.2	Define LLL outcomes according to EQF/NQFs													
6.3	Create K-FORCE Glossary	X=	X=	X=	X=	χ=	χ=	X=						
6.4	Adapt selected learning material for LLL courses													
6.5	Deliver LLL courses in blended way to professionals	2X	2X	2X	2X	2X	2X	2X	2X	2X	2X	2X	2X	
	WP7 Quality Assurance and Monitoring													
7.1	Develop Quality Assurance mechanisms and procedures													
7.2	Create polls for different types of activities				х	X=								
7.3	Learning material peer review	2=	2=	2=	2=	2=	2=	2=						
7.4	External review of the Glossary		=	-	-	-	-	=		Act	ivate	Win	dow	
















# Thank you for your attention

Contact info about the presenter:

**Knowledge FOr Resilient soCiEty** 





